Screening Pediatric Medical Patients for Suicide Risk: Is Depression Screening Enough?
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Medically ill youth are at increased risk for suicide. For convenience, hospitals may screen for suicide risk using depression screening instruments, though this practice might not be adequate to detect those at risk for suicide. This study aims to determine whether depression screening can detect suicide risk in pediatric medical inpatients who screen positive on suicide-specific measures.

Methods: A convenience sample of medical inpatients ages 10–21 years were recruited as part of a larger instrument validation study. Participants completed the Ask Suicide-Screening Questions, the Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire/Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire-Junior, and the Patient Health Questionnaire–Adolescent Version (PHQ-A). Univariate and multivariate statistics were calculated to examine the relationship between screening positive for depression and suicide risk.

Results: The sample consisted of 600 medical inpatients (59.2% female; 55.2% white; mean age 15.2/2.84 years). Of participants who screened positive for suicide risk (13.5%; 81/600), 39.5% (32/81) did not screen positive for depression, and more than half (45/81) did not endorse PHQ-A item 9, which queries for thoughts of harming oneself or being better off dead. Twenty-six participants (32%) who screened negative for depression and on PHQ-A item nine were at risk for suicide.

Conclusions: In this sample, depression screening alone failed to detect nearly a third of youth at risk for suicide. Although depression and suicide risk are strongly related, a significant portion of pediatric medical inpatients at risk for suicide may pass through the healthcare system unrecognized if depression screening is used as a proxy for identifying suicide risk.

Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine.

IMPLICATIONS AND CONTRIBUTION

Depression screening failed to detect a significant portion of pediatric medical patients with suicide risk. Medical settings that are seeking to identify youth at risk for suicide should use suicide-specific screening tools to ensure that patients at risk for suicide do not pass through the healthcare system undetected.

In 2018, 27% of all deaths for youth ages 10-24 years in the United States were from suicide. In national efforts to lower the ever-increasing youth suicide rate, medical settings are being leveraged as important partners in suicide prevention efforts [1]. Nearly 40% of youth had contact with a general healthcare...
provider weeks before their death, and 80% within a year of death [2]. Young people with medical illnesses, such as epilepsy, cancer, and asthma, are also at greater risk for suicide [3–5]. Notably, more than 93% of youth in the US had contact with a healthcare professional in 2018, positioning the medical setting as an ideal venue to identify even physically healthy youth during routine primary care visits [6]. In addition, depression in young people is highly prevalent [7], yet often goes undetected until they are adults [8]. Early detection of risk through mental health screening in nonbehavioral healthcare settings may have positive effects on mental health outcomes in adulthood for these young people.

There has been a surge in depression screening in medical settings as a result of the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) and the American Academy of Pediatrics recommending depression screening at healthcare visits for all youth ages 12 years and above [9,10]. In particular, the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire—Adolescent Version (PHQ-A) is currently used by many hospitals across the country to screen for depression due to its robust psychometric properties and ability to accurately detect significant depressive symptoms [11].

Concurrently, many hospitals have implemented suicide risk screening to meet the 2016 Joint Commission Sentinel Event Alert 56 recommendations for universal screening of all medical patients [12]. Suicide risk screening has the potential to substantially reduce the frequency of individuals who pass through the medical system with unidentified suicide risk, allowing for those at risk for suicide to be detected and provided further mental health care [13,14]. With many medical settings already using the PHQ-A as a depression screener, some use the PHQ-A to also detect suicide risk, though evidence to support this practice is limited. Although depression is a well-established risk factor for suicide, it is estimated that 20%–60% of youth who die by suicide did not have clinically significant depression at the time of attempt [15]. Although screening for depression is important and necessary, depression screeners may not be adequate for identifying medical patients at risk for suicide.

The PHQ-A uses item nine as a proxy for detecting suicidal thoughts or self-harm by querying for feelings of being better off or hurting oneself. Research supporting use of this item to detect suicidal ideation is inconsistent. Some studies have shown that this item can identify large numbers of at-risk pediatric and adult patients [16,17], but numerous other studies show that relying on this single item may fail to detect a large portion of individuals at risk for suicide [18–22]. Although previous research has shown depression screening may be inadequate for capturing medical patients at risk for suicide [21,23], these studies primarily focus on adult populations. Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine if depression screening can accurately detect suicide risk in pediatric medical inpatients who screen positive on validated, suicide risk-specific measures.

**Methods**

**Participants and procedures**

Pediatric patients admitted to designated medical inpatient units at three large urban pediatric tertiary care hospitals were approached for participation in a larger instrument development study. To enroll, participants had to be admitted to one of the designated inpatient units, speak English, have an English-speaking parent/legal guardian (hereafter referred to as “parent”) present (if 17 years old or younger), be between the ages of 10 and 21 years, and not have severe cognitive impairments based on clinician judgment. Exclusion criteria included: acute medical symptoms that precluded participation and presence of severe developmental delays, cognitive impairment, or communication disorder such that the patient was not able to comprehend questions or communicate their answers. The decision to exclude patients who did not speak English or did not have an English-speaking parent/guardians was due to several of the measures included in this study not being validated in languages other than English. This study was approved by the institutional review boards at each study site and at the National Institute of Mental Health. Written informed consent was obtained from participants aged 18 years and older and from parents of participants aged 17 years and younger. Written assent was obtained from all participants under the age of 18 years.

After obtaining informed consent/assent, parents were asked to leave the patient’s treatment room during completion of the study materials, to ensure privacy. Participants were notified that their parent and medical team would be alerted if there were any safety concerns. Participants completed a battery of screening measures to assess suicide risk and depression, as well as a demographic questionnaire. Appropriate psychiatric follow-up care was provided for all participants deemed at risk for suicide and/or depression. Participant responses to study measures were evaluated by study staff in real time and all participants who screened positive on any measure received a follow-up brief suicide safety assessment by a clinician within 24 hours and patient safety was managed as clinically indicated and per standard of care for each institution [24]. Any participants who endorsed acute suicidal thoughts received an urgent, same-day psychiatric evaluation and standard of care safety measures, including a 1:1 safety observer.

**Measures**

The Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ) [25] is a 4-item brief screening questionnaire developed to assess recent suicidal ideation and lifetime suicidal behavior in pediatric medical patients (see Figure 1). All items use the response options of “yes” or “no.” Responding “no” to all items constituted a negative screen. A “yes” response or refusal to answer any question flagged the patient as a positive screen. Screening positive prompted a fifth question to assess acuity of suicidal thoughts. A “no” to the acuity question indicated a nonacute positive screen, while a “yes” response to the acuity item indicated an acute positive screen. The ASQ has strong psychometric properties among pediatric medical patients, with a sensitivity of 96.7%, a specificity of 91.1%, a negative predictive value of 99.8%, and a positive predictive value of 36.4% [24]. The ASQ has also been shown to have predictive validity for postdischarge suicidal behavior among pediatric emergency department patients [26].

The Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire (SIQ/SIQ-JR) is a measure assessing the severity of suicidal ideation [27]. The 30-item SIQ was used for participants 15 years and above, while participants 14 years and younger were administered the 15-item SIQ-Junior (SIQ-JR). Both versions of the SIQ ask individuals to rate the frequency in which a given thought occurs on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from never to almost every day. The SIQ/SIQ-JR was included as the gold standard in the larger ASQ instrument validation study used for this subanalysis and is included as an additional measure of suicide risk in the current subanalysis. A
Ask the patient:

1. In the past few weeks, have you wished you were dead?  ○ Yes ○ No
2. In the past few weeks, have you felt that you or your family would be better off if you were dead?  ○ Yes ○ No
3. In the past week, have you been having thoughts about killing yourself?  ○ Yes ○ No
4. Have you ever tried to kill yourself?  ○ Yes ○ No
   If yes, how? _______________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   When? _______________________________________________________________________

If the patient answers Yes to any of the above, ask the following acuity question:
5. Are you having thoughts of killing yourself right now?  ○ Yes ○ No
   If yes, please describe: ______________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________

Next steps:

- If patient answers “No” to all questions 1 through 4, screening is complete (not necessary to ask question #5).
  No intervention is necessary (Note: Clinical judgment can always override a negative screen).
- If patient answers “Yes” to any of questions 1 through 4, or refuses to answer, they are considered a positive screen. Ask question #5 to assess acuity:
  - “Yes” to question #5 = acute positive screen (imminent risk identified)
    - Patient requires a STAT safety/full mental health evaluation.
    - Patient cannot leave until evaluated for safety.
    - Keep patient in sight. Remove all dangerous objects from room. Alert physician or clinician responsible for patient's care.
  - “No” to question #5 = non-acute positive screen (potential risk identified)
    - Patient requires a brief suicide safety assessment to determine if a full mental health evaluation is needed. Patient cannot leave until evaluated for safety.
    - Alert physician or clinician responsible for patient's care.

Provide resources to all patients

- 24/7 National Suicide Prevention Lifeline 1-800-273-TALK (8255) En Español: 1-888-628-9454
- 24/7 Crisis Text Line: Text “HOME” to 741-741

Figure 1. The Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ).
score of 31 or higher on the SIQ-JR and 41 or higher on the SIQ is used to indicate clinically significant suicide risk and suggests a need for further assessment. For the purposes of this study, this cutoff of 31 or greater on the SIQ-JR and 41 or higher on the SIQ was used to classify participants as positive for suicide risk. Participants with SIQ-JR scores lower than 31 or SIQ scores lower than 41 were considered negative for suicide risk on the SIQ. The SIQ has a high reliability (SIQ: $r = .97$; SIQ-JR: $r = .94$), validity, and predictive ability [27,28].

The Patient Health Questionnaire—Adolescent Version (PHQ-A) [11] screens for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders fifth edition (DSM-5) depressive symptoms over the past two weeks and is intended for use among adolescents. The 9-item version of the PHQ-A was used for the purposes of this study. Of note, item nine of the PHQ-A asks specifically about “thoughts that you would be better off dead, or of hurting yourself in some way.” Individuals rate the frequency with which they experience each depressive symptom on a 4-point Likert scale (0 = not at all, 1 = several days, 2 = more than half the days, 3 = nearly every day). A score of 11 or greater is considered clinically significant depression (moderate depression or above) and was used as the cutoff score for a positive depression screen in this study. A score of one or greater for item nine was considered endorsement of suicide risk for the purposes of this study. The PHQ-A has been shown to have a sensitivity of 75%, a specificity of 92%, and strong diagnostic agreement and accuracy for depression, as compared to a clinician interview [11].

Statistical analysis

Univariate and multivariate statistics are reported to depict the relationship between screening positive for depression and screening positive for suicide risk. The results of an odds ratio with a 95% confidence interval are reported to describe the relationship between suicide risk and depression screening outcomes. A two-sample t-test was used to assess the difference between depression scores on the PHQ-A among individuals who screened positive for suicide risk compared to those who screened negative. Classification statistics of sensitivity and specificity, along with 95% confidence intervals were calculated to compare the performance of the PHQ-A item nine in detecting suicide risk, relative to the gold standard SIQ/SIQ-JR. The decision was made to compare only item nine of the PHQ-A to the SIQ/SIQ-JR as this item is intended to assess for suicide risk, whereas using the full PHQ-A is meant to identify depression. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (version 25).

Results

Across the three study sites, 795 eligible pediatric medical inpatients were approached to participate in the larger study. Six hundred patients consented and completed the study measures, resulting in an enrollment rate of 75.5% (600/795). The sample was 59.2% female (355/600), 55.2% white (331/600), and had a mean age of 15.4 years (SD = 2.8). Table 1 reports full demographic characteristics of the study participants.

Eighty-one participants (13.5%; 81/600) screened positive for suicide risk on the ASQ and/or the SIQ/SIQ-JR. One hundred three participants (17.2%; 103/600) screened positive for depression. Additionally, 42 participants (7.0%; 42/600) endorsed item nine on the PHQ-A. A total of 137 participants (22.8%; 137/600) screened positive for depression and/or suicide risk. Figure 2 provides a visual representation of the relationship between positive screens for depression and suicide risk.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics of study participants (N = 600)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 355 (59.17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male: 242 (40.13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown: 3 (.50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race or ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: 331 (55.17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American: 200 (33.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino: 59 (9.83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian: 10 (1.66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple races: 45 (7.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: 11 (1.83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown: 4 (.66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD): 15.43 years (2.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–11 years: 55 (9.17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–17 years: 379 (63.17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–21 years: 163 (27.17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown: 3 (.50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCH: 200 (33.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNMC: 200 (33.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCH: 200 (33.33%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1[1186]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Almost 5% of participants (26/600) screened positive for suicide risk only, 9% of patients (54/600) screened positive for depression only, and 8.2% (49/600) screened positive for both depression and suicide risk. A total of 30 participants (5.0% 30/600) screened positive for all three conditions (depression, suicide risk, and endorsed item 9). A total of 42 patients endorsed item nine on the PHQ-A; however, two of them screened negative for depression and suicide risk (4.8%, 2/42).

Of the 81 participants who screened positive for suicide risk, 39.5% (32/81) did not screen positive for depression, and 55.6% (45/81) did not endorse PHQ-A item 9. Of note, nearly one-third (32.1%; 26/81) of participants who were positive for suicide risk screened positive only on a suicide risk measure and were negative on the PHQ-A and did not endorse item nine on the...
PHQ-A. Among the 26 participants who were at risk for suicide but did not screen positive for depression and did not endorse item 9, all 26 of them screened positive on the ASQ, specifically.

To further describe the relationships between suicide risk and depression in this sample, we assessed the association between suicide risk screening outcome and PHQ-A score. Participants who screened positive for depression were nearly 13 times more likely to screen positive for suicide risk compared to participants who screened negative for depression (odds ratio: 12.9, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 7.6 to 21.9, \( p < .0001 \)). Additionally, participants who screened positive for suicide had a mean PHQ-A score of 12.8 (SD = 6.2), while participants who screened negative had a mean PHQ-A score of 4.7 (SD = 4.1). Participants that screened positive for suicide risk had significantly higher PHQ-A scores compared to those who screened negative (\( \text{t}(597) = 14.9, p < .0001, d = 1.5 \)).

Classification statistics were calculated to compare the performance of the PHQ-A item nine in detecting suicide risk, relative to the gold standard SIQ. In relation to SIQ/SIQ-JR scores, item nine had a sensitivity of 70% (95% CI = 51%–85%) and a specificity of 96% (94%–98%). Comparatively, in relation to the SIQ/SIQ-JR, the ASQ had a sensitivity of 97% (95% CI = 83%–100%) and a specificity of 91% (95% CI = 88%–93%) [24].

Discussion

These results indicate that depression screening alone is not enough to capture suicide risk in young patients. Despite the strong association between depression and suicide risk, the PHQ-A failed to identify a significant portion of medical patients at risk for suicide. A salient finding of the present study was that solely relying on depression screening would have missed 32% of the participants at risk for suicide. Using the ASQ alone as a measure of suicide risk, even without the SIQ/SIQ-JR as an additional suicide risk detection measure, the ASQ was able to detect all participants who were missed by depression screening. Consequently, hospitals that only use depression screening tools, such as the PHQ-A, to detect suicide risk may miss a fair number of youth at risk for suicide that would have been detected had suicide risk specific measure been utilized.

Item nine of the PHQ-A is often considered to be a proxy for self-injury and suicide ideation, yet more than half (56%) of the participants who screened positive for suicide risk did not endorse item 9. Additionally, in a direct comparison of item nine of the PHQ-A to the SIQ/SIQ-JR, item nine had a less than optimal sensitivity of 70%, yet a high specificity (96%), compared to the ASQ which had high sensitivity (97%) and specificity (91%). Using the SIQ as the criterion standard, these results suggest that the PHQ-9 may be inadequate for screening for suicide risk. Although depression and suicide often co-occur [29,30], the current findings demonstrate that this may not always be the case, particularly in medical patients. For instance, past research by Recklitis and colleagues showed that out of 29 cancer patients at risk for suicide, only 11 met criteria for depression [23]. Future research should seek to better characterize young medical patients who screen positive for suicide risk but not depression. In addition, while it is tempting to assume that these data obtained from an inpatient medical surgical unit apply to all medical settings, replication of these findings is needed in other venues where children are frequently seen, like primary care settings.

Interestingly, two participants endorsed item nine on the PHQ-A but did not screen positive for suicide risk nor depression. The item reads: “Thoughts that you would be better off dead, OR of hurting yourself in some way.” One main problem with utilizing item 9 as a suicide risk detection measure is that the question includes an “or” in the middle of the sentence. When a responder endorses this item, it is unclear if they are responding to the first or second part of the question. In addition, the item uses the term “hurting” instead of “killing.” Therefore, it is possible that these participants were responding “yes” due to nonsuicidal self-injury rather than a suicidal component of the question. Most patients do not disclose suicidal thoughts or plans unless they are asked directly about suicidal thoughts. Moreover, numerous studies have demonstrated that thoughts of nonsuicidal self-injury and suicide are distinct [31–34]. Therefore, the best way to detect suicide risk among youth is to ask directly about suicide [35,36].

Depression screening remains an essential component of mental wellness screening to detect depressive symptoms that warrant further mental health evaluation, with or without the presence of suicide risk [10]. Multistep screening processes for depression and suicide risk are sometimes implemented whereby the PHQ-2 is utilized as a primary screen, then if positive, the PHQ-9 is administered, followed by a suicide risk screen, if the PHQ-9 is positive. While this practice is becoming more common, there is no empirical evidence to support this sequential manner of screening and most likely patients will find it tedious [37]. Thus, clinicians should assess both depression and suicide risk and utilize the best currently evidence-based tools for both.

While there are not yet youth studies establishing that screening for suicide risk reduces suicide or suicide attempts, the ED-SAFE study of adult ED patients did establish that screening paired with a brief intervention reduced suicidal behavior by 20% [38]. The ASQ is only the first step in a longer clinical pathway to reduce suicide and suicidal behavior [39]. Moreover, there is now evidence that the ASQ can predict future suicidal behavior [26].

The study findings should be interpreted with the following limitations in mind. First, each of the three study sites were in urban, academic hospitals. The study budget did not allow participation from families of non-English speakers thereby limiting generalizability. The use of one screening tool across individuals of all cultures may also limit generalizability, suggesting a need for future research studies to replicate current findings and validate depression and suicide risk screening tools across cultures. Second, use of a convenience sample may introduce selection bias into the findings and we were unable to obtain demographic information on the patients who declined to participate. Finally, as this study was cross-sectional in nature, no longitudinal data were collected, preventing assessment of any temporal relationship between the screens and the outcomes of interest.

Mental health concerns in young people are increasingly prevalent. Depression and suicide risk are highly related but are not equivalent. Importantly, they both lend themselves to early detection and intervention, but depression screening alone is not enough. Relying solely on depression screens to detect suicide risk among pediatric patients may fail to identify a significant number of medical patients at risk for suicide. Clinicians should screen for suicide risk with validated tools that ask youth directly about suicide to ensure that young people at risk do not pass through medical settings undetected.
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