Impacts of Immigration Actions and News and the Psychological Distress of U.S. Latino Parents Raising Adolescents
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: U.S. Latino parents of adolescents face unprecedented threats to family stability and well-being due to rapid and far-reaching transformations in U.S. immigration policy.

Methods: Two hundred thirteen Latino parents of adolescents were recruited from community settings in a suburb of a large mid-Atlantic city to complete surveys assessing parents’ psychological distress and responses to immigration actions and news. Univariate and bivariate analyses were conducted to describe the prevalence of parents’ responses to immigration news and actions across diverse residency statuses. Multiple logistic regression models examined associations between immigration-related impacts and the odds of a parent’s high psychological distress.

Results: Permanent residents, temporary protected status, and undocumented parents reported significantly more negative immigration impacts on psychological states than U.S. citizens. Parents reporting frequent negative immigration-related impacts had a significantly higher likelihood of high psychological distress than did other parents, and these associations were maintained even when accounting for parents’ residency status, gender, education, and experience with deportation or detention. The odds of a parent reporting high psychological distress due to negative immigration impacts ranged from 2.2 (p < .05) to 10.4 (p < .001).

Conclusions: This is one of the first empirical accounts of how recent immigration policy changes and news have impacted the lives of Latino families raising adolescent children. Harmful impacts were manifest across a range of parent concerns and behaviors and are strong correlates of psychological distress. Findings suggest a need to consider pathways to citizenship for Latina/o parents so that these parents, many of whom are legal residents, may effectively care for their children.
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IMPLICATIONS AND CONTRIBUTION

In response to rapid and unprecedented changes in immigration actions and news, high proportions of U.S. Latino parents of adolescents reported recently having modified behaviors and experiencing worry. Adverse responses to immigration events were associated with more than 300% greater odds of a U.S. Latino parent’s high psychological distress.
Media reports indicate that U.S. Latina/o immigrants have experienced heightened stress and threats to family stability since the new President took office in 2017 [1,2]. However, little empirical data document how rapid changes in immigration news and actions are affecting Latina/o (hereafter, referred to as Latino) families. Adverse consequences of today’s immigration climate may be pronounced for Latino parents with adolescent children. Compared with younger children, adolescents have a better cognitive understanding of the stressors their families face, experience more direct exposure to extrafamilial risks, and have spent more formative years of identity development within a U.S. context [3]. The present study describes parents’ behavioral and emotional responses to recent immigration actions and news and investigates how these responses are associated with Latino parents’ psychological distress. We describe how immigration-related impacts vary by residency status, conceptualized along a hierarchy from the most to least secure categories [4]. Participants included those who were U.S.-born and naturalized U.S. citizens (most secure), permanent residents, Temporary Protected Status (TPS) residents, and undocumented residents (least secure).

Extensive research has described stressors experienced by U.S. Latinos [5,6], particularly the undocumented [7–14]. Latino immigrants often experience fear of deportation, exploitation by employers [8], trauma [15], distrust in public services [16], language barriers, racism [11], and financial strain [17]. These stressors are important predictors of psychological distress, indicated by anxiety, depression, and somatization [12,18,19]. The costs and burdens of psychological distress extend far beyond an affected individual. Parents’ psychological distress is especially important; adolescents whose parents are depressed and/or anxious face heightened risk of poor social functioning [20], academic failure [21], and mental health problems [20].

Immigration threats have impacts well beyond the acute harm conferred to the subset of Latinos directly experiencing events such as deportation [13,22]. Informed by public health’s injury pyramid, Dreby suggested that an event such as deportation severely hurts those at the top of the pyramid—Latinos experiencing deportation—but also produces less severe harm for a large number of Latinos at the bottom of the pyramid—those not directly experiencing deportation [23]. This is because politics, threats of deportation, and anti-immigrant sentiments lead to widespread fear and anxiety among Latinos not directly affected by the event [10,23,24].

Immigration actions and news likely are affecting Latino parents across diverse residency statuses. The most notable immigration policy changes in 2017 were: (1) expanded eligibility for deportation, which increased deportation of long-term residents without criminal records [25]; (2) the elimination of, and/or plans to eliminate, TPS [26–28]; and (3) an end to the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program, which has protected hundreds of thousands of undocumented Latinos brought to the United States as children [29]. Our study provides some of the first evidence to date indicating how U.S. Latino parents of adolescents cope, react, and manage emotions in response to recent immigration news and actions. Given that the adolescents of parents in this study were U.S. citizens or brought to the United States as children, our research can advance knowledge about the family context for a large and critical segment of the U.S. population.

Methods

Procedures and sample

Drawing from a mixed-method study conducted in the fall of 2017, we analyzed survey data for 213 Latina/o immigrant parents living in a suburban area of a large mid-Atlantic city in the United States. Numerous immigration policy changes took place before, during, and immediately after our collection. The community includes a large Latino population, mostly from El Salvador and Guatemala and, to a lesser extent, from Mexico, Honduras, Nicaragua, and the Dominican Republic. An author of this study with expertise in data collection among this community utilized her existing network to recruit participants. Survey-only respondents were provided $10 and those who also participated in the focus group were provided $50. Eligibility was limited to Latino parents with at least one child aged 12–18 years. The sample was stratified so that about one-third were U.S. citizen (n = 69), one-third were permanent residents (n = 70), and the remaining one-third included the same number of U.S. citizen (n = 37) and TPS parents (n = 37).

Data collection was conducted in Spanish by bicultural and bilingual interviewers. To protect participants’ safety, we collected data anonymously, obtained oral consent only, and obtained a Certificate of Confidentiality from the National Institutes of Health. All study procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the institution where the research was conducted.

Measures

Residency status. Parents’ residency status was measured by four dummy coded variables for U.S. citizen (the reference group), permanent resident, TPS, and undocumented.

Immigration impacts on parents. The 15-item Political Climate Scale was used to assess impacts of immigration news and actions [30]. The instrument opens with: “As you know, there have been stories in the news about immigrants and immigration, and there have
been official actions affecting immigrants and other people. We would like to know whether these news stories and official actions have affected you or your family over the past few months.” Parents responded to 15 statements indicating worry or behavior modification. The original 1 to 5 response options were recorded into “never/almost never, not very often, or sometimes” (the reference group) versus “very often or always/ almost always.”

Parent’s psychological distress. A modified 16-item version of the Brief Symptom Inventory-18 [31] was used to assess parents’ symptoms of depression, anxiety, and somatization (due to Institutional Review Board concerns, two items—suicidal thoughts and chest pains—were removed). Parents reported being distressed or bothered in the past seven days by things such as feeling worthless, lonely, and nervous (0 = “not at all” to 4 = “extremely”). Results from Principal Components Analysis indicated a single factor of psychological distress (α = .96). We recoded the summed average scores into a dichotomous variable, whereby, “high distress” represented the top quartile of scores (≥3.19).

Background variables. Parent characteristics included sex (female was the referent); having at least a high school education (less than high school was the referent); living in the United States for more than 15 years (≤15 was the referent); and being from El Salvador (referent group), Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, United States, or “Other.” We assessed if parents moved to the United States for any of the following reasons: (1) get a better job or make more money; (2) improve education for their child; (3) escape gangs or violence; and/or (4) reunite with family in the United States for any of the following reasons: (1) get a better job or make more money; (2) improve education for their child; (3) escape gangs or violence; and/or (4) reunite with family in the United States.

Results

Participants included slightly more mothers than fathers. About half of the parents were El Salvadoran, with the remainder including mostly Central Americans and a small number of Mexicans and U.S.-born parents. As shown in Table 1, virtually all TPS and U.S. citizen parents had lived in the United States for more than 15 years, compared with less than two-thirds of permanent resident and less than one-third of undocumented parents. Over three-quarters of U.S. citizens had at least a high school degree, compared with 40% to 50% of permanent resident and undocumented parents, and less than one-fifth of TPS parents. Although 60% of TPS parents reported that a family member had been detained or deported since the new president took office in 2017, less than a quarter of undocumented, permanent resident, and U.S. Citizen parents reported a family member’s recent deportation or detention. Finally, the majority of youth whose parents

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of origin</th>
<th>Undocumented</th>
<th>TPS</th>
<th>Resident</th>
<th>U.S. citizen</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Chi-square (df)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>16 (23.2)</td>
<td>37 (53.6)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>37 (53.6)</td>
<td>117 (55.2)</td>
<td>3.33 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>37 (53.6)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>94 (54.3)</td>
<td>0.03 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>16 (23.2)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>55 (28.6)</td>
<td>0.04 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>16 (23.2)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>36 (18.9)</td>
<td>0.02 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>37 (53.6)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>36 (18.9)</td>
<td>0.02 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>37 (53.6)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>36 (18.9)</td>
<td>0.02 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“−” Indicates cell size was too small for cross-tabulation.

Proportions in the same row that do not share superscripts differ at p < .05 using chi-square tests of significance.


b Reference group: Parent had less than a high school education.

c Parent reports having an undocumented child brought to United States prior to age 18. Among parents with “DACA-eligible” child, n = 14 (26.4%) report that their child has protection under the DACA program.

d “Fam” = Family; “Mem” = member. Reference group: Had not had family member who was deported or detained since new U.S. president took office January 2017.

* Analyses excluded U.S. Citizens due to small numbers having been born outside United States; respondents may mark more than one reason.
are in this study are U.S. citizens; just 30% of the non-U.S. citizen parents report having a “DACA-eligible” child—one brought to the United States prior to age 18 and lacking legal residency status.

Just over 40% of TPS parents moved to the United States in order for the parent or spouse to improve their employment situation, compared with less than a fifth of permanent resident and undocumented parents. In addition, over 40% of permanent residents moved to reunite with family in the United States, compared with about a quarter of TPS and undocumented parents. Over half of the non-U.S. citizen parents (i.e., TPS, undocumented, permanent residents) moved to the United States to escape gangs or violence and almost 40% did so for their children to get a better education. Finally, almost half of TPS parents (48.6%) reported high psychological distress, compared with about a quarter of undocumented (23.2%) and permanent resident (27.1%) parents and just 8.1% of U.S. citizen parents.

Variations in immigration impacts by parents’ residency status

Table 2 presents results for parental responses to immigration actions and news. As shown, the majority of TPS and undocumented parents reported that immigration news and actions led them to very often or always (1) worry about family separation; (2) feel their child had been negatively affected; and (3) worry it would be hard for their child to finish school. Although TPS parents were more likely than other groups to report concerns about the safety and well-being of the family and children, substantial proportions of undocumented and permanent resident parents reported these same concerns. Specifically, a substantial proportion of TPS, undocumented, and permanent resident parents reported having frequently (1) warned their children to stay away from authorities; (2) talked to their children about changing behaviors such as where they hang out; (3) avoided seeking medical care, public assistance (e.g., Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program), or help from the police; and (4) felt that their child or themselves had been negatively affected by immigration actions and news. Undocumented parents were most likely to report jobs concerns including (1) having a hard time imagining they could get a job or keep a job; (2) believing it would be hard to get a better job or make more money; and (3) worrying that it would be hard for their children to get a job. There were no significant differences in the proportions of TPS, undocumented, and permanent residents who reported frequently changing daily routines or worrying about contact with authorities such as police.

Due to small cell sizes, we do not present results for the most extreme immigration consequences; these responses did not differ significantly by residency status. Overall, between 14% and 18% of parents reported “very often” or “almost always/always” being stopped, questioned or harassed, and/or considered leaving the country. U.S. citizens were least likely to report all other adverse immigration impacts.

How immigration impacts matter for parents’ psychological distress

A parent’s odds of being highly psychologically distressed were significantly greater if the parent frequently modified behavior in response to immigration actions and news. Results in Table 3 include unadjusted odds ratios as well as adjusted odds ratios. The odds of a parent’s high psychological distress were 118% greater for parents who frequently avoided contact with authorities such as the police (44.3% vs. 19.2%, AOR = 2.18, CI: 1.03–4.60) and three to four times greater for parents who frequently warned their child to stay away from authorities (43.6% vs. 9.9%, AOR = 4.06, CI: 1.75–9.45); worried it would be hard for their child to get a job (40.8% vs. 14.0%, AOR = 3.19, CI: 1.49–6.81); worried that family members would get separated (35% vs. 9.6%, AOR = 3.52, CI: 1.28–9.67); and considered leaving the United States (51.4% vs. 20.6%, AOR = 4.13, CI: 1.71–9.96). The odds of high psychological distress were 8–11 times higher when parents reported that, due to immigration actions and news, they had frequently been stopped, questioned or harassed (60.0% vs. 21.0%, AOR = 8.03, CI: 2.68–24.05); avoided seeking medical care or assistance from police and government services (48.8% vs. 11.6%, AOR = 5.30, CI: 2.45–11.47); talked to their child about changing behaviors such as where the child hangs out (49.0% vs. 6.2%, AOR = 8.74, CI: 3.42–22.39); felt negatively affected (49.5% vs. 7.8%, AOR = 7.78, CI: 3.33–18.20); believed that their children had been

Table 2
Proportion of parents in different residency statuses reporting “Very Often” or “Almost Always/Always” experiencing outcomes due to immigration news and events, n = 213

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due to immigration actions and news</th>
<th>Undocumented</th>
<th>TPS</th>
<th>Resident</th>
<th>U.S. citizen</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Chi-square (df)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hard to get or keep a job</td>
<td>33 (47.8)</td>
<td>11 (29.7)</td>
<td>17 (24.3)</td>
<td>2 (5.4)</td>
<td>63 (29.6)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 22.35***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard to imagine better job, more money</td>
<td>48 (69.6)</td>
<td>15 (40.5)</td>
<td>25 (35.7)</td>
<td>6 (16.2)</td>
<td>94 (44.1)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 32.00***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worried will be hard for child to get job</td>
<td>42 (60.9)</td>
<td>21 (56.8)</td>
<td>29 (42.0)</td>
<td>6 (16.2)</td>
<td>98 (46.2)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 21.50***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warned child to stay away from authorities</td>
<td>38 (55.1)</td>
<td>28 (77.8)</td>
<td>30 (42.9)</td>
<td>5 (13.5)</td>
<td>101 (47.6)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 32.55***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worried family members will get separated</td>
<td>61 (88.4)</td>
<td>31 (83.8)</td>
<td>40 (57.1)</td>
<td>8 (21.6)</td>
<td>140 (65.7)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 55.35***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changed daily routines</td>
<td>28 (41.2)</td>
<td>17 (45.9)</td>
<td>22 (31.4)</td>
<td>3 (8.1)</td>
<td>70 (33.0)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 15.30***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoided medical care, police, and services</td>
<td>29 (42.0)</td>
<td>23 (62.2)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>8 (21.6)</td>
<td>84 (39.4)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 13.89***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child negatively affected</td>
<td>39 (56.5)</td>
<td>22 (61.1)</td>
<td>25 (37.3)</td>
<td>6 (16.2)</td>
<td>92 (44.0)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 21.47***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worried hard for child to finish school</td>
<td>40 (58.0)</td>
<td>28 (75.7)</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>6 (16.2)</td>
<td>98 (46.0)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 34.18***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child affected at school</td>
<td>26 (37.7)</td>
<td>24 (64.9)</td>
<td>23 (32.9)</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
<td>78 (36.8)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 21.15***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent negatively affected</td>
<td>34 (50.0)</td>
<td>24 (64.9)</td>
<td>30 (44.1)</td>
<td>7 (18.9)</td>
<td>95 (45.2)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 16.76***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worried contact with police, authorities</td>
<td>24 (34.8)</td>
<td>12 (32.4)</td>
<td>21 (30.4)</td>
<td>4 (10.8)</td>
<td>61 (28.8)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talked to child about changing behavior, such as where s/he hangs out</td>
<td>37 (53.6)</td>
<td>24 (64.9)</td>
<td>31 (44.3)</td>
<td>8 (21.6)</td>
<td>100 (46.9)</td>
<td>χ² (3) = 15.73***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bolded numbers signify the residency status with the highest proportion of parents reporting “very often” or “almost always/always” experiencing a particular adverse outcome. Proportions in the same row that do not share superscripts differ at p < .05 using Chi-square tests of significance. Some categories do not add up to 213 due to item-level missing data. ** p < .01; *** p < .001.
negatively affected (51.1% vs. 6.8%, AOR = 10.39, CI: 4.01–26.92); expected their children would have a hard time finishing school (48.0% vs. 7.8%, AOR = 9.85, CI: 3.81–25.42), and thought their children had been affected at school (55.1% vs. 9.0%, AOR = 7.65, CI: 3.33–17.53). Once control variables were included, parent reports of having changed daily routines, feeling it was harder to find or keep a job and having a hard time imagining getting a better job or making more money, were not associated with parents’ psychological distress.3

Discussion

Contemporary immigration actions and news have had profound and far-reaching adverse impacts on U.S. Latino parents raising adolescents. In a departure from prior research [8,19], this descriptive study is informative about Latino parents across a hierarchy of residency statuses. Although parental worries and behavior modifications tied to immigration actions and news were least prevalent among U.S. citizens, pernicious immigration-related consequences were by no means limited to the undocumented. Across noncitizen groups, especially those with TPS, parents experienced concern for family, as indicated by parents warning their children to avoid authorities; avoiding medical care, public assistance, or the police; and, worrying that their children had been negatively affected at school due to immigration actions and news. Similarly high proportions of TPS and undocumented parents had frequently talked to their children about changing behaviors such as where they hang out, felt that the immigration actions and news negatively affected the parent, and worried about their own and their children’s job prospects. As suggested by research on DACA recipients [15], the vulnerability of TPS parents in this study may stem from the temporary nature of the TPS program and/or the stress of having undocumented family members [26,32]. Almost all TPS parents in this study has lived in the United States for more than 15 years, and 60% had experienced a family member’s deportation or detention during the first nine months of the new president’s term in office. Taken together, these findings highlight the pronounced vulnerability of TPS parents vis-à-vis today’s immigration changes.

Evidence for adverse consequences of immigration actions and news across residency statuses is consistent with research indicating that immigration policy can be equally harmful to documented and undocumented Latinos [23,32]. TPS and, in some cases, permanent resident parents were at least as harmed by immigration events as were undocumented parents. In this way, our findings do not support the idea of “hierarchy” of residency status but rather point to the uniquely protective value of having U.S. citizenship. A substantial proportion of non-U.S. citizen parents frequently engaged in behaviors designed to avoid the attention of government authorities. These parental responses align with prior research indicating that Latino immigrants often hesitate contacting police for fear of mistreatment and/or the deportation of another family member [33]. Given that over half of the non-U.S. citizen parents in this study moved to the United States to escape gangs and violence, unease among these parents is especially understandable. Regardless of residency status, a small proportion of Latino parents (approximately 15% to 18%) reported “very often” or “always” considering leaving the United States and/or getting stopped, harassed, or questioned. These findings support the conclusion drawn by Enriquez that “sanctions intended for undocumented immigrants seeped into the lives of individuals who should have been protected by their citizenship status.”

Adverse immigration impacts were associated with at least a 300% increase in the odds of a parent having high psychological distress. Worrying about youth’s education, perceiving

---

3 Post hoc analyses indicated that just three of 15 two-way interaction terms between residency status and immigration impacts were statistically significant (all suggested stronger immigration impacts on psychological distress for U.S. citizen and permanent resident than for TPS and undocumented parents). Given concerns about a Type I error, we concluded that associations between immigration-related impacts and the odds of parents having high psychological distress were similar for Latino parents specifically targeted by official immigration actions and those not specifically targeted.
negative impacts on the family, being stopped/questioned/harassed, and considering leaving the United States appeared to be especially harmful; frequently experiencing these outcomes was associated with more than an eight-fold increase in the odds of a parent’s high psychological distress. Unlike parental concerns about their family, parent aspirations for their own upward mobility (e.g., hoping to get a better job or make more money) appeared not to compromise parents’ mental health once accounting for background variables.

Regardless of societal concerns about the mental health and well-being of Latino adults, our findings raise serious concerns about the health and well-being of U.S. Latino adolescents. Adolescents whose parents get deported often experience post-traumatic stress disorder [9]. In this research, almost two-thirds of parents frequently worried about family separation and close to half frequently warned their adolescent children to stay away from authorities, talked to their children about changing behaviors such as where they hang out, and avoided access to medical care, police, and public assistance. These behaviors directly threaten youth’s safety and mental and physical health and can be indirectly harmful by way of parents’ psychological distress [34]. Although risks likely are magnified for adolescents whose parents are not U.S. citizens, the vast majority of Latino adolescents in this study were U.S. citizens. Thus, even though Latino youth themselves are not undocumented, they face risks to well-being on account of their parents’ vulnerable residency status [35].

This study is not without limitations and suggests important directions for future research. First, this study’s use of cross-sectional data limits causal inferences. Second, the reliance on self-reported data for a convenience sample of Latinos from a single immigrant community is limiting. A larger sample size would help elucidate findings for TPS parents, a group at heightened risk for adverse outcomes. Given that many Latino parents, who may live in “mixed-status” families with documented and undocumented family members, it will be important for future research to explicitly investigate the unique difficulties faced by mixed-status families [36]. Third, given the small number of parents with children covered by the DACA program in this study, further research is needed to elucidate the degree to which DACA protections may or may not shield parents from immigration-related concerns and worries. Fourth, it is unclear how parental responses to today’s immigration actions and news might differ from those experienced during the Obama administration, which witnessed even higher numbers of deportations to Mexico and Central America. In this regard, however, any comparison is complicated by the fact that President Trump’s election in 2016 was followed by fewer attempted illegal crossings into the United States, an increased number of deportations in the interior of the country, and expanded eligibility for deportation, resulting in more deportations of individuals with long histories of law-abiding behavior [37]. Finally, our study did not investigate Latino parents’ experiences of racism and discrimination. Yet, stress tied to discrimination experiences are highly prevalent among Latino immigrants and positively associated with anti-immigrant policies [38] and inequity due to residency status [11]. Given that parents’ reports of being frequently stopped, questioned, or harassed due to immigration actions and news did not differ by residency status, it is possible that immigration changes increased racial profiling for a much larger segment of the U.S. Latino population than has been targeted by official immigrant actions.

Public discourse around immigration has progressed at a rapid pace since the 2016 presidential campaign and election. Extant research has demonstrated that residency status serves as a mechanism of social stratification affecting Latino citizen youth by blocking access to critical developmental resources [39]. The current study suggests that increased anti-immigrant and anti-Latino rhetoric taking place [1,2] may lead to psychological distress among Latino parents of adolescents—a finding that generalized to all four residency status groups. Community-based organizations must educate Latino residents about their rights, ensure that these rights are not violated, and counteract rumors that can have a chilling effect on Latino families’ use of public services. Given robust negative implications of parent psychological distress for adolescents [20,21], alongside the large portion of Latino adolescents who are U.S. citizens, pathways to citizenship for Latino parents are critical in order to mitigate long-term, collateral consequences for numerous Americans.
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